

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/870,672	09/870,672 06/01/2001		Ryota Sugimoto	018961-054	8651	
39083	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
THE KENI	EALY L	AW OFFICE, L.L.C	MATHEW, FENN C			
23 W. Myrtl Alexandria,		3 <b>0</b> 1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· iivaiidiid,	223		3764			
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W/

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/870,6	72	SUGIMOTO, RYO	ОТА				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit					
		Fenn C M		3764					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicated reply	ation appears on the	ecover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stater tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty rill expire SIX (6) MONT blication to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  THS from the mailing date of this of  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status					,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12 <i>April 2004</i></u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)∭ This action is r	ion-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims	·		,					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Applicat	ion Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the								
10)[	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the three oath or declaration is objected to be				•				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Attachmer	it(s)								
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P <sup>*</sup> er No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/15/03</u> .			:)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT 	°O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672

Art Unit: 3764

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 20, 32, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Palmaz et al. (WO 99/23977). Referring to claim 1, Palmaz discloses an implantable tubular device having a deformable portion (400"") on a peripheral surface thereof, with the deformable portion forming a predetermined angle with respect to an axial direction of the device and inherently being easier to deform in comparison with the remainder of the device, the deformable portions being formed as grooves having a bottom surface provided on an outer surface of the tubular device.
- 3. Referring to claim 5, Palmaz teaches the grooves at various angles including in the range of 20-90 degree with the axial direction.
- 4. Referring to claim 6, Palmaz teaches the deformable portions are capable of continuously going around the periphery of the device.
- 5. Referring to claim 10, Palmaz teaches the device consisting of a stent.
- 6. Referring to claim 20, Palmaz teaches the device consisting of a stent having a frame structure, with the deformable portions on the frame structure.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672

Art Unit: 3764

tubular device.

- 7. Referring to claim 32, Palmaz teaches an implantable tubular device comprising a plurality of annular members arranged in an axial direction of the device, and connection portions each connecteing the annular members to each other in the axial direction of the device, wherein each of the annular members has deformable portions forming a predetermined angle with respect to the axial direction of the device and being more easily deformed than a remainder of the device, the deformable portions being formed as grooves having a bottom surface provided on an outer surface of the
- 8. Referring to claims 35-36, Palmaz teaches the grooves capable of having V-shaped bottom surfaces.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 10. Claims 4, 7, 9, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmaz. Referring to claims 4, 9, and 13, Palmaz discloses the claimed invention with the exception of specific intervals and depths of the grooves. Palmaz does teach that the grooves can have a variety of acceptable groove depths and distances between grooves. The specific ranges claimed by the applicant are considered a matter of obvious design choice within the knowledge of the skilled artisan, as it appears the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672

Art Unit: 3764

skilled artisan would choose appropriate specifications based on suitability for the intended use. Referring to claim 7, the feature of having the deformable portions be aligned in a spiral shape is also considered a matter of design choice.

- 11. Referring to claims 11-12, the method of forming the device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore this limitation has not been given patentable weight. The skilled artisan would choose a manner in which to form the device based on suitability and desired results.
- 12. Claims 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmaz in view of Alt et al. Referring to claim 14, Palmaz discloses the claimed invention except for the device carrying a medicine. Alt teaches that it is well known and advantageous to provide a medicinal coating to stents (column 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the stent of Palmaz with a medicinal coating as taught by Alt in order to deliver medicine to areas of the body once the device has been implanted.
- 13. Referring to claim 15, Palmaz discloses the claimed invention except for having at least one part of the outer surface coated with a coating material made of a biodegradable material. Alt teaches a coating comprising a biodegradable material (col. 6, lines 59-64), which can be used for a stent (col. 6, line 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the device disclosed by Palmaz with the coating taught by Alt in order to provide a more biocompatible implant that will not cause harm during degradation.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

- 14. Referring to claim 16, Palmaz discloses the claimed invention except for having at least one part of the outer surface of the deformable portion coated with a coating material made of a biodegradable material. Alt teaches a coating comprising a biodegradable material (col. 6, lines 59-64), which can be used for a stent (col. 6, line 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the outer surface of the deformable portion of the device disclosed by Palmaz with the coating taught by Alt in order to provide a more biocompatible implant that will not cause harm during degradation.
- 15. Referring to claim 17, Palmaz as modified above discloses the coating carrying a medicine (col. 8).
- 16. Referring to claim 18, Palmaz, as modified by Alt discloses a coating material formed of a biodegradable material to which a medicine is added (col. 8).
- 17. Referring to claim 19, Palmaz as modified by Alt teaches an antibiotic.
- 18. Claims 2, 21-25, 33, 34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanley in view of Palmaz. Referring to claim 2 and 22, Shanley discloses an implantable tubular device having a diameter so that the device can be inserted into a lumen, the device comprising a plurality of wavy annular member each formed of a wavy element and arranged in an axial direction (see fig. 12a), connection portions (84) connecting the wavy annular members to each other in an axial direction of the device, wherein each of the wavy annular members have free bent portions (118, 122) not connected to other wavy annular members, a deformable portion (40) inherently forming a predetermined angle with respect to the axial direction of the

Art Unit: 3764

device. Shanley fails to teach deformable portions as substantially claimed. Palmaz teaches that it is desirable to have grooves on the stent, inherently defining deformable portions. Furthermore, Palmaz discloses that it is desirable to have deformable portions at any portion of the stent. Therefore, as it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the stent, including the wavy annular portions and free bent portions of Shanley with grooves as taught by Palmaz in order to aid in migration of cells.

- 19. Referring to claim 21, the modified Shanley teaches the device consisting of a stent having a frame structure, with the deformable portions on the frame structure.
- 20. Referring to claim 23-25 the modified Shanley discloses the claimed invention with the exception of specific intervals and depths of the grooves. The modified Shanley does teach that the grooves can have a variety of acceptable groove depths and distances between grooves. The specific ranges claimed by the applicant are considered a matter of obvious design choice within the knowledge of the skilled artisan, as it appears the skilled artisan would choose appropriate specifications based on suitability for the intended use. Referring to claim 25, the feature of having the deformable portions be aligned in a spiral shape is also considered a matter of design choice.
- 21. Referring to claim 26, the method of forming is not germane to the patentability of the apparatus. The limitation has been given no patentable weight. See discussion above.
- 22. Referring to claim 33 and 37, please refer to the above paragraphs.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672

Art Unit: 3764

23. Claims 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shanley in view of Palmaz, and further in view of Alt et al. Please refer to paragraphs 12-17 above.

#### Response to Arguments

24. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

25. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,672

Art Unit: 3764

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (703) 305-2846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 12, 2004

NICHOLAS D. 1.000MESI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**